## INFLECTED ENDINGS: Same stroke? Separate stroke?

Steno writers - particularly students - sometimes find themselves in a quagmire of indecision due to conflicting advice from theory authors and "experts" about various aspects of writing steno. Writing inflected endings is a case in point.

Always add /-D/-G/-Z(S) in separate strokes: The U.S. national association (NCRA) doesn't say that approved theories must teach adding inflected endings in separate strokes, but the Theory Review Task Force has been critical of theories which don't subscribe to this principle. Some captioning companies are quoted as saying they require captioners to add all inflected endings in separate strokes.

Always add the /-D/-G/-Z(S) onto root words if possible: Some theories, and also a well-known and respected realtime writer/lecturer/former national speed champion, admonish writers to always add $-\mathrm{D},-\mathrm{G},-\mathrm{Z}(\mathrm{S})$ onto root words, if possible, in order to reduce their average-strokes-per-word ratio.

Hmm. Two views which couldn't be much farther apart! It's little wonder if you find the contradiction confusing. So what should you do? As with most contradictions you encounter in life, investigate the pluses/minuses of the opposing viewpoints and then decide what's best for you.

Concerns about adding inflected endings onto root words are two-fold: (1) Adding -D, $-\mathrm{G},-\mathrm{Z}(\mathrm{S})$ onto root words creates hundreds of homonyms and stenonyms, conflicts which otherwise don't even exist for steno writers; (2) Misstrokes may result in incorrect plural/verb forms or past tense, putting the accuracy of the record at risk.

These are both very legitimate concerns. First let's talk about potential conflicts.
Some theories use -S , others use -Z , to create plurals/verb forms. Both create numerous homonyms when attached to root words ending in vowel sounds. Examples:

| base/baize/bays | fleece/flees | nose/knows | rouse/rows |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| brace/braise/brays | gaze/gays | ice/eyes | cease/seize/sees |
| Bruce/bruise/brews | guise/guys | pace/pays | size/sighs |
| browse/brows | grace/graze/grays | peace/peas | sauce/saws |
| cruise/crews | gross/grows | pause/paws | souse/sows |
| close/clothes | haze/hays | praise/prays | space/spays |
| clause/claws | hose/hoes | price/prize/pries | spice/spies |
| daze/days | juice/Jews | prose/pros | tease/teas |
| dice/dies | Joyce/joys | place/plays | trace/trays |
| dose/does/doze | lace/laze/lays | police/please/pleas | trice/tries |
| deuce/dues | lease/lees | race/raise/rays | truce/trues |
| face/phase/fays | lice/lies | rice/rise/ryes | vice/vise/vies |
| phrase/frays | mace/maze/mays | rose/rows | use/yews |
| freeze/frees | niece/knees | ruse/rues |  |

Incorporating -D onto root words ending in vowel sounds also creates homonyms. Examples:

| ode/owed | grade/grayed | pride/pried | staid/stayed |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| bade/bayed | hide/hied | proud/prowed | suede/swayed |
| bode/bowed | lode/lowed | raid/rayed | tide/tied |
| baud/bahed | aloud/allowed | rode/rowed | toad/towed |
| braid/brayed | mode/mowed | rude/rued | wade/weighed |
| Claude/clawed | mood/mooed | side/sighed |  |
| 'fraid/frayed | need/kneed | Slade/slayed |  |
| guide/guyed | parade/prayed | spade/spayed |  |

Incorporating $-G$ onto root words ending in vowel sounds rarely creates conflicts, but the occasional one, like plague/playing, can still jump up and bite you.
(Examples cited in this article are not complete lists of homonyms/stenonyms created by adding inflected endings to root words. But I'm listing those that come readily to mind because it's important to realize that far more than just a small handful of conflicts are created by adding inflected endings to root words!)

Unless you feel confident that when writing at reporting/captioning/CARTing speeds, under on-the-job pressures and distractions, you have enough mental resources to recognize and avoid all these conflicts, then you should seriously consider adding inflected endings in a separate stroke for any word ending in a vowel sound.

What about words ending in consonant sounds?
Using -Z to create plurals/verb forms avoids homonyms such as:

| apse/aps | frounce/frowns | once/ones | since/sins |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| copse/cops | glance/glans | parse/pars | source/sores |
| course/cores | gorse/gores | pence/pens | sparse/spars |
| curse/curs | hearse/hers | pierce/peers | tense/tens |
| false/falls | hence/hens | pulse/pulls | Vance/vans |
| fierce/fears | house/hows | purse/purrs | whence/whens |
| force/fors | lapse/laps | scance/scans | wince/wins |
| dunce/duns | Morse/mores | scarce/scares |  |

-Z can be added to words ending in consonant sounds without creating conflicts except when the root word ends in a G or K sound (which may create conflicts with -GZ (shun) or BGZ (kshun) endings). Also, if your theory distinguishes -ts/-st, you can use -TS to create plurals/verb forms of root words ending in -T and avoid the shift from home position required to stroke -TZ .

Adding -D onto root words ending in $\boldsymbol{l l}, \boldsymbol{- n}, \boldsymbol{- r}$ sounds creates homonyms. Examples:
Baird/bared
bald/balled
band/banned
bard/barred
board/bored
bold/bowled
build/billed
chard/charred
cord/cored
find/fined
foaled/fold
fund/funned
goaled/gold
gourd/gored
guild/gilled held/helled hold/holed mild/miled mind/mined pard/parred pend/penned
sold/soled sword/soared told/tolled ward/warred weld/welled wild/wiled wind/wined

Incorporating $-G$ onto root words ending in $\boldsymbol{- l}, \boldsymbol{- n}, \boldsymbol{- r},-\boldsymbol{s h}(-\boldsymbol{R B} \boldsymbol{B}$ ) sounds creates stenonyms (words which aren't pronounced or spelled the same but which would be written with the same steno). Examples:

| bang/banning | dark/dashing | mark/mashing | sing/sinning |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| bark/bashing | dong/donning | narc/gnashing | stark/stashing |
| bilge/billing | dung/dunning | quark/quashing | stung/stunning |
| bulge/bulling | fang/fanning | pang/panning | sung/sunning |
| burg/burring | hark/hashing | ping/pinning | tang/tanning |
| burke/bushing | lark/lashing | rung/running | wing/winning |

Absent some unusual principle in your theory, you could incorporate -D and -G onto words ending in consonant sounds except $\boldsymbol{l l}, \boldsymbol{- n}, \boldsymbol{- r}$ and $-\mathbf{s h}$ without creating conflicts. So your choices really are: (1) The consistency/automaticity of always adding -D and -G in separate strokes; (2) Stroking -D and -G in separate strokes only for root words ending in $\boldsymbol{l},-\boldsymbol{n},-\boldsymbol{r}$, or $-\boldsymbol{s} \boldsymbol{h}$ sounds; (3) Adding -D and -G to all root words except those which would create conflicts - requiring the mental gymnastics of "I can write fanned in one stroke, but not banned, doled but not bowled; scored but not cored, pushing but not bushing, spinning but not winning," purring but not burring, filling but not billing, etc.

Decisions, decisions, decisions! When making your decision, it's important to keep in mind that writing machine shorthand at high speeds requires effort on the part of your fingers and your brain. Writing fewer strokes lets your fingers be a little lazier; but in most instances - and certainly in this one - the trade-off is that your brain has to work considerably harder. Only you can determine the best balance for you as to how much of the work your fingers should do and how much your brain can do. And it's worth remembering that if sparing your fingers a few strokes creates inconsistencies which cause mental hesitation, then saving strokes may actually be detracting from speed rather than contributing to speed.

In Phoenix Theory, we always come back for /-D, /-G in a second stroke, but we do so in a way that frequently avoids need for a third stroke. For ending strokes, we consistently omit the schwa vowel sound preceding consonants. Examples: -ered (-RD), -ers (-RZ), ering (-RG), -ened (-PBD), -ening (-PBG), -ens (-PBZ), -eled (-LD), -ling (-LG), -les (LZ), etc. These endings don't create conflicts and they eliminate the need to add inflected endings in a third stroke for a substantial number of words.

As for dragging in unwanted letters which may create incorrect plurals/verb forms and past tense, that's also a very legitimate concern. But addressing that specific concern by requiring all plurals/verb forms to be added in a second - or even third - stroke, seems somewhat excessive. Absent some serious flaw in stroking technique, extraneous letters occur in our notes when: (1) They're adjacent to other keys in the stroke. For -Z, this would apply only to root words ending in -D or -S . (2) Our fingers brush across or rest on an extraneous key heavily enough to trigger it. For example, P- and -P are stroked with our two longest fingers and consequently are the most susceptible to being accidentally triggered. But this doesn't apply to -Z because (a) it's stroked with the shortest finger; (b) triggering it requires an intentional extension of the little finger.

If you have any tendency to drag a -Z with an -S or -D , absolutely you should always avoid those possibilities for error by adding the -Z in a second stroke for root words ending in -S or -D . But how likely is it that someone will drag a -Z into strokes ending in any other consonant key? Regardless, if your goal is to become a captioner, you have to give consideration to the fact that, at least at present, some captioning companies do require their captioners to create all plurals/verb forms in a second stroke.

It's important to make a personal decision whether to incorporate inflected endings onto root words or add them in separate strokes and to follow that decision consistently so you create automatic responses and eliminate hesitation. I hope I've given you information which helps you make the informed decisions that work best for you.
(Carol Jochim, author, Phoenix Theory)

